```
1
                         BEFORE THE
                 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
 2
   IN THE MATTER OF:
 3
   ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
 4 On Its Own Motion
 5
                                   ) No. 02-0172
 6 INTERSTATE POWER COMPANY
 7 Reconciliation of revenues
   collected under Coal Tar riders)
 8 with prudent costs associated
   with coal tar clean up
 9 expenditures.
                                   )
10
                          Chicago, Illinois
11
                          November 13, 2002
12
            Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m.
13 BEFORE:
       MR. JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge.
15 APPEARANCES:
16
       MR. JOHN C. FEELEY,
       160 North LaSalle Street,
17
       Chicago, Illinois,
         appeared for the Staff;
18
       MR. KENT RAGSDALE,
19
       200 First Street, SE,
       Cedar Rapids, Iowa,
20
         appeared for Interstate Power Company,
         telephonically.
21
   SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22 Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR
```

1		<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>I</u>	<u>E</u> <u>X</u>			
2				Re-		
3	Witnesses:	<u>Direct</u> C	Cross	direct	cross	Examiner
4	Martin Seitz	5				7
	Bruce Greer	16				
5	Theresa Ebrey	21				25
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11		<u>E</u> <u>X</u>	<u>I</u> <u>H</u> <u>I</u>	<u>B</u> <u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>	<u>5</u>	
12	COMPANY 1.6 thru 1.16	<u>For</u>	Ider	ntificat	cion I	In Evidence 9
13	1.17 and 1.18			- – –		15
14	2.0 thru 2.4					21
15						
16	STAFF					
17	1.00 thru 1.05					24
18	2.00 thru 2.02					24
19						
20						
21						
22						

- JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 3 Docket 02-0172. This is the Illinois Commerce
- 4 Commission On Its Own motion versus Interstate Power
- 5 Company, reconciliation of revenues collected under
- 6 Coal Tar riders with prudent costs associated with
- 7 coal tar clean up expenditures.
- 8 And Mr. Feeley, will you enter an
- 9 appearance for the record, please.
- 10 MR. FEELEY: Sure. John Feeley, Office of
- 11 General Counsel, representing Staff of the Illinois
- 12 Commerce Commission, 160 North LaSalle Street,
- 13 Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- And Mr. Ragsdale, would you enter an
- 16 appearance for the company, please.
- 17 MR. RAGSDALE: Thank you. Appearing on behalf
- 18 of Interstate Power Light Company, my name is Kent
- 19 Ragsdale, R-a-g-s-d-a-l-e, my address is 200 First
- 20 Street, South East, Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
- 21 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you. And at this time it is
- 22 my understanding that there is a sole contested

- 1 issue in this matter, Mr. Feeley?
- 2 MR. FEELEY: Yes, I believe so.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Did you want to call your
- 4 witness right away?
- 5 MR. FEELEY: The company can go first, if they
- 6 want to put their witnesses on.
- 7 JUDGE RILEY: Company, do you have any objection
- 8 to that?
- 9 MR. RAGSDALE: No.
- 10 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Mr. Ragsdale, why don't you
- 11 call your first witness, then?
- MR. RAGSDALE: The first witness we'll call is
- 13 Martin Seitz. Mr. Seitz has previously filed
- 14 direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony.
- 15 JUDGE RILEY: Right, I understand that.
- 16 (Witness sworn.)
- JUDGE RILEY: You're going to have to speak up.
- 18 Your voice is coming in kind of faint.
- 19 Go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: I responded yes.
- JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- Please proceed, Mr. Ragsdale.

- 1 MARTIN SEITZ,
- 2 called as a witness herein, and after having been
- 3 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 4 follows:
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY
- 7 MR. RAGSDALE:
- 8 Q Could you state your name and address,
- 9 please.
- 10 A My name is Martin Seitz, S-e-i-t-z, and my
- 11 address is 4902 North Biltmore Lane, Madison,
- 12 Wisconsin 53707.
- 13 Q And did you previously cause to be filed in
- 14 this proceeding direct testimony?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And do you have any changes or corrections
- 17 to make to that testimony?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Did you also cause to be filed rebuttal
- 20 testimony?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And are there any changes, Mr. Seitz, to

- 1 your rebuttal testimony?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q And did you also cause to be filed
- 4 surrebuttal testimony?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 Q And are there any changes or corrections to
- 7 be made to your surrebuttal testimony?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q And, I believe, in regards to your direct,
- 10 rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony you filed
- 11 exhibits?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Are there any changes or corrections to be
- 14 made to your exhibits?
- 15 A No.
- MR. RAGSDALE: With that we would offer
- 17 Mr. Seitz for any examination that the Bench or the
- 18 parties may have.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Feeley, do you have any
- 20 cross-examination?
- 21 MR. FEELEY: Staff has no cross for Mr. Seitz.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. Thank you.

- 1 Mr. Ragsdale, let me ask you, the
- 2 testimony that you have recited that was filed with
- 3 Mr. Seitz, these are marked as exhibits?
- 4 MR. RAGSDALE: I believe that is correct. I've
- 5 got to apologize a little bit because I'm a late
- 6 parties to these proceedings.
- 7 And Marty, if you've got that in front
- 8 of you, can you identify the exhibit numbers on your
- 9 testimony for the Examiner?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. We had identified my
- 11 testimony and the exhibits starting with Exhibit 1.0
- 12 for testimony that was filed on April 29th, 2002.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Let me take it from here.
- 14 EXAMINATION
- 15 BY
- 16 JUDGE RILEY:
- 17 Q And attached to that at that time were
- 18 Exhibits 1.1 and 1.4?
- 19 A Yes, 1.1 through 1.4, that's correct.
- 20 Q And it's my understanding that on your
- 21 supplemental direct testimony, which is Exhibit 1.5,
- 22 you attached Exhibits 1.6 through 1.9?

- 1 A That is also correct.
- 2 Q And those superceded Exhibits 1.1 and 1.4,
- 3 is that correct?
- 4 A I believe that's correct.
- 5 Q After which you also filed rebuttal
- 6 testimony marked 1.10.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 O Attached to which were Exhibits 1.11
- 9 through 1.16.
- 10 A That is also correct.
- 11 Q And all of these exhibits and schedules,
- 12 were they prepared by you or at your direction?
- 13 A Yes, they were.
- 14 Q And again, let me reconfirm that it's your
- 15 testimony today that there are no changes to any of
- 16 the testimony or the exhibits that you have filed?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 JUDGE RILEY: All right. Thank you.
- 19 MR. RAGSDALE: Mr. Examiner, I would apologize
- 20 to you, the file that was provided to me from the
- 21 person who departed our office is not complete and
- 22 it did not have all the copies of either Mr. Greer

- 1 or Mr. Seitz' testimony. And I thank you for your
- 2 assistance in helping clarify the record.
- 3 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. That's not a problem.
- 4 Is it my understanding then that
- 5 you're moving for the admission of all or any of
- 6 these exhibits into evidence?
- 7 MR. RAGSDALE: Yes, we are moving the admission
- 8 of these exhibits into evidence.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: All right, and without reciting
- 10 them, I think we've got a complete record as to what
- 11 they are. They do exclude, however, Exhibits 1.1
- 12 through 1.4, which have been superceded by
- 13 Exhibits 1.6 through 1.9.
- 14 Staff, did you have any objection to
- 15 the admission of those exhibits?
- 16 MR. FEELEY: Staff has no objection.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. Then, the exhibits, as
- 18 recited, are admitted into evidence.
- 19 (Whereupon, Company's Exhibits
- 1.6 through 1.16 were
- 21 received in evidence.)
- 22 JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Ragsdale, did you have

- 1 anything further for Mr. Seitz?
- 2 MR. RAGSDALE: We have nothing further for
- 3 Mr. Seitz, thank you.
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: Hold on a second.
- 5 Q Mr. Seitz, I noticed that in your rebuttal
- 6 testimony to Ms. Ebrey's -- I think it was to
- 7 Ms. Ebrey's rebuttal, you stated that you were
- 8 contesting -- let me get it here.
- 9 You were contesting four adjustments
- 10 to the amounts of insurance, litigation expenses
- 11 incurred by Interstate.
- 12 A I believe that's correct.
- 13 Q And one of those was a 758.15 adjustment
- 14 for 1998. It was my understanding from Ms. Ebrey's,
- 15 I believe it was her rebuttal testimony, that she
- 16 had withdrawn her adjustment and that that amount
- 17 was no longer in issue.
- 18 A I believe that is also correct. I think
- 19 when you went through the list of testimony and the
- 20 exhibits I had filed, I had surrebuttal that, I
- 21 believe, no longer contested that adjustment. And
- 22 that surrebuttal was 1.17 through 1.18.

- 1 Q Okay. This is where I'm confused, now,
- 2 Exhibits 1.17 through 1.18 are attached to your, not
- 3 surrebuttal, but your supplemental direct testimony.
- 4 I can't find where it says where you no longer are
- 5 contesting the \$758. I don't want to spend a lot of
- 6 time on this because it's not --
- 7 MR. FEELEY: I think in Ms. Ebrey's rebuttal at
- 8 Page 5, Lines 88 to 93, she talks about the \$758.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Right, exactly. That's where I
- 10 had seen it.
- 11 MR. FEELEY: It's Ms. Ebrey who is withdrawing
- 12 that adjustment.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- MR. FEELEY: As she explains in her testimony,
- 15 that adjustment isn't needed anymore.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: Right. And my only concern was
- 17 that it appeared that Mr. Seitz in his rebuttal was
- 18 still treating it as an issue. And I thought that
- 19 his rebuttal had been filed after Ms. Ebrey's
- 20 rebuttal, maybe I'm wrong there.
- Okay. That clears it up. Mr. Seitz'
- 22 rebuttal in which he mentions the 758 was filed

- 1 September 19th, 2002, and that predates Ms. Ebrey's
- 2 rebuttal by about a month. Okay. That clears it up
- 3 for me.
- 4 Q The only other thing in your rebuttal,
- 5 Mr. Seitz, is that -- toward the end you state that
- 6 the over collection position of IPL -- this is on
- 7 Page 5, right near the bottom. The over collection
- 8 position of IPL is adjusted to be a net amount of
- 9 \$256,408.
- Now, is this down from the previous
- 11 over -- is this an adjustment to the previous over
- 12 collection amount of 261,190?
- 13 A Just a second here.
- I don't remember whether that was an
- 15 adjustment down or up, but with the rebuttal
- 16 testimony, the total net over collection or over
- 17 recovery is that 256,408, with the rebuttal
- 18 testimony. But I think that has been further
- 19 revised with the surrebuttal testimony.
- 20 Q Mr. Seitz, you keep saying that you have a
- 21 surrebuttal testimony. I don't have that. I
- 22 have -- Mr. Greer has surrebuttal.

- 1 A I had filed October 30th, 2002, surrebuttal
- 2 testimony.
- 3 Q No, I've got an October 30 surrebuttal for
- 4 Mr. Greer, and it has some data requests responses
- 5 attached.
- 6 A I'm pretty sure I went out to the Illinois
- 7 Commerce Commission's web site and saw this out
- 8 there yesterday morning.
- 9 MS. EBREY: This is Theresa Ebrey, and I've got
- 10 my computer in front of me and Mr. Seitz'
- 11 surrebuttal testimony is on e-docket.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. He does have surrebuttal.
- MS. EBREY: Yes, he does, Exhibit 1.17 with the
- 14 Exhibit 1.18 is attached.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay, let me go back.
- See, this is, again, my confusion,
- 17 Exhibit 1 -- or, it's 1.17?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: All right. Give me just a minute.
- 20 I'm going to go back and take a look for it.
- 21 We'll go off the record for just a
- 22 minute.

- 1 (Whereupon, a discussion
- 2 was had off the record.)
- 3 JUDGE RILEY: Q I have Exhibit 1.17. I saw it
- 4 on the e-docket. I had read it, but without the
- 5 hard copy in front of me, it just didn't register.
- 6 Now, I do have it and I see where, yes, there -- you
- 7 had recalculated the over recovery portion in this
- 8 exhibit to be \$267,640.90.
- 9 A Related to the insurance -- net insurance
- 10 proceeds, that is right.
- 11 Q Okay. Then, the net over recovery is the
- 12 258,924.
- 13 A Based on the company's calculation, that's
- 14 correct.
- 15 Q Okay. Is it my understanding, Mr. Seitz,
- 16 that this Exhibit 1.17, to which is attached,
- 17 Schedule, marked as Exhibit 1.18, that this was
- 18 prepared by you or at your direction?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q And as you review that testimony and the
- 21 schedules today, are there any changes that you
- 22 would make to it?

- 1 A No, there are not.
- 2 JUDGE RILEY: And is it my understanding,
- 3 Mr. Ragsdale, that you're moving for the admission
- 4 of Exhibit -- Company's Exhibit 1.17 and 1.18 into
- 5 evidence?
- 6 MR. RAGSDALE: That's correct.
- 7 JUDGE RILEY: All right. And is there any
- 8 objection from the Staff?
- 9 MR. FEELEY: Staff has no objection.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. Then, Exhibits 1.17 to
- 11 which is attached Exhibit 1.18 is admitted into
- 12 evidence.
- 13 (Whereupon, Company's
- Exhibits 1.17 and 1.18 were
- received in evidence.)
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Seitz, I don't have
- 17 any further questions.
- 18 And, again, Staff, you have no
- 19 questions for Mr. Seitz?
- 20 MR. FEELEY: Staff has no questions.
- JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Ragsdale, did you have any
- 22 redirect?

- 1 MR. RAGSDALE: No.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. That concludes our
- 3 examination of Mr. Seitz.
- 4 Thank you very much.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 6 (Witness excused.)
- JUDGE RILEY: And Mr. Ragsdale, was there
- 8 another witness that you wanted to call?
- 9 MR. RAGSDALE: We have Mr. Bruce Greer to call
- 10 to the stand.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: Good morning, Mr. Greer.
- 12 MR. GREER: Good morning.
- 13 (Witness sworn.)
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: Please proceed.
- 15 BRUCE GREER,
- 16 called as a witness herein, and after having been
- 17 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 18 follows:
- 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 BY
- MR. RAGSDALE:
- 22 Q Please state your name and address.

- 1 A Bruce Greer, 4902 North Biltmore,
- 2 B-i-l-t-m-o-r-e, Lane, Madison, Wisconsin
- 3 53718-2148.
- 4 Q Mr. Greer, have you previously caused to be
- 5 filed in this proceeding direct testimony?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And could you identify for the record how
- 8 many pages is composed of your direct testimony?
- 9 A Six, I believe.
- 10 Q Are there any changes to make to your
- 11 direct testimony?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Did you have any exhibits attached to your
- 14 direct testimony?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Was your direct testimony identified as
- 17 Exhibit 2.0.?
- 18 A I have one that's 2.1, I'm just making sure
- 19 that -- the first one, yes, 2.0, correct.
- 20 Q Did you also cause to be filed, dated
- 21 September 19th rebuttal testimony?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q And does that consist of one page?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And was that identified as Exhibit 2.1?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Are there any changes to make to your
- 6 rebuttal testimony?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q And did you have attached to your rebuttal
- 9 testimony a 21-page exhibit, identified as Exhibit
- 10 No. 2.2?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Are there any changes to make to your
- 13 Exhibit 2.2?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q And did you cause to be filed surrebuttal
- 16 testimony in this proceeding?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And was that testimony identified as
- 19 Exhibit 2.3?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And did it consist of one page?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q Any changes or corrections to make to
- 2 Exhibit 2.3?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q And was there attached to your surrebuttal
- 5 testimony and exhibit?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And was that marked as Exhibit 2.4?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And did that consist of six pages?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Any changes to Exhibit 2.4?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q And were Exhibits 2.0 through 2.4 prepared
- 14 under your direction and supervision?
- 15 A Yes.
- MR. RAGSDALE: With that, we would offer into
- 17 the records Exhibits 2.0 through 2.4, and would
- 18 tender Mr. Greer for any examination.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Subject to cross, are there any
- 20 objections to the admission of the exhibits?
- 21 MR. FEELEY: No objection.
- JUDGE RILEY: And do you have any

- 1 cross-examination for Mr. Greer?
- 2 MR. FEELEY: Staff has no cross.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. Then, Mr. Greer's
- 4 Exhibits 2.0 through 2.4, without change, are
- 5 admitted into evidence.
- 6 (Whereupon, Company's Exhibits
- 7 2.0 through 2.4 were
- 8 received in evidence.)
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: I have no questions, Mr. Greer.
- 10 Thank you very much.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 12 (Witness excused.)
- JUDGE RILEY: And Mr. Ragsdale, were there any
- 14 other witnesses that you wanted to call in this
- 15 matter?
- MR. RAGSDALE: No, that concludes all of our
- 17 witnesses.
- JUDGE RILEY: And I trust, then, that you are
- 19 resting your case?
- MR. RAGSDALE: Yes, that's correct.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. Thank you.
- Mr. Feeley, did you need a minute or

- 1 did you want to --
- 2 MR. FEELEY: I'm all set.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. And we are calling --
- 4 MR. FEELEY: Staff has one witness, Ms. Theresa
- 5 Ebrey.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Ms. Ebrey, can you still hear us?
- 7 MS. EBREY: Yes.
- 8 (Witness sworn.)
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Please proceed.
- 10 THERESA EBREY,
- 11 called as a witness herein, and after having been
- 12 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 13 follows:
- 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY
- MR. FEELEY:
- 17 Q Could you please state your name for the
- 18 record.
- 19 A My name is Theresa Ebrey, the last name is
- 20 spelled, E-b-r-e-y.
- 21 Q And by whom are you employed?
- 22 A Employed by the Illinois Commerce

- 1 Commission.
- 2 Q Ms. Ebrey, do you have in front of you two
- 3 documents, I'll go through them one at a time, the
- 4 first being a document that's been marked for
- 5 identification as ICC Staff Exhibit 1.00, Direct
- 6 Testimony of Theresa Ebrey, which consists of 19
- 7 pages of narrative text and attached Schedules 1.01
- 8 through 1.05?
- 9 A Yes, I do.
- 10 Q And it's entitled, Direct Testimony of
- 11 Theresa Ebrey?
- 12 A That's correct.
- Q Was ICC Staff Exhibit 1.00 prepared by you
- 14 or under your direction, supervision and control?
- 15 A It was.
- 16 Q Do you have in front of you another
- 17 document which has been marked for identification as
- 18 ICC Staff Exhibit 2.00, Rebuttal Testimony of
- 19 Theresa Ebrey and it consists of six pages of
- 20 narrative text and attached Schedules 2.01 through
- 21 2.02?
- 22 A That's correct.

- 1 Q Was ICC Staff Exhibit 2.00 and it's
- 2 attached Schedules prepared by you or under your
- 3 direction, supervision and control?
- 4 A Yes, it was.
- 5 Q Do you have any additions, deletions or
- 6 modifications to make to either ICC Staff
- 7 Exhibit 1.00 or ICC Staff Exhibit 2.00?
- 8 A No, I do not.
- 9 Q If I were to ask you today the same series
- 10 of questions set forth in those documents, would
- 11 your answers be the same as set forth in them?
- 12 A Yes, they would.
- 13 MR. FEELEY: At this time, Staff would move to
- 14 admit into evidence ICC Staff Exhibit 1.00 and its
- 15 attached Schedules and ICC Staff Exhibit 2.00 and
- 16 its attached Schedules.
- JUDGE RILEY: And Mr. Ragsdale, is there any
- 18 objection from the company with regard to the motion
- 19 to admit?
- 20 MR. RAGSDALE: No objection from the company.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right, Staff Exhibit 1.00, to
- 22 which is attached Exhibits 1.01 through 1.05 and

- 1 Staff Exhibit 2.00, to which is attached Exhibits
- 2 2.01 and 2.02 are all admitted into evidence without
- 3 objection.
- 4 (Whereupon, Staff Exhibits
- 5 1.00 through 1.05 and 2.00
- 6 through 2.02 were received
- 7 in evidence.)
- 8 JUDGE RILEY: And does that complete your
- 9 examination of Ms. Ebrey?
- 10 MR. FEELEY: I guess, I want to make one point
- 11 of clarification, the attachments to our exhibits
- 12 are referred to as schedules.
- 13 JUDGE RILEY: I'm sorry, schedules. They are
- 14 admitted with the schedules attached.
- Mr. Ragsdale, I'm going to make that
- 16 distinction in your case, as well.
- 17 MR. RAGSDALE: Okay.
- JUDGE RILEY: The schedules, which are attached
- 19 to the exhibits themselves will be treated as
- 20 schedules and they are admitted with the exhibit.
- MR. RAGSDALE: Thank you.
- JUDGE RILEY: Did you have any cross-examination

- 1 for Ms. Ebrey?
- 2 MR. RAGSDALE: We have no questions. Thank you.
- 3 EXAMINATION
- 4 BY
- 5 JUDGE RILEY:
- 6 Q Just for my own clarification, Ms. Ebrey,
- 7 it's my understanding that there is a sum of money
- 8 that is still being contested in this matter, is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q And does that pertain to travel expenses?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Where would I find -- looking at your --
- 14 strike that.
- 15 Referring to your rebuttal testimony
- 16 on Page 4, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says the
- 17 internal company charges, this is on Line 70, for
- 18 1996 and 1997, are the figures that follow on
- 19 Line 71, are these the amounts that are in dispute?
- 20 A Those are the amounts for '96 and '97.
- 21 There's an additional amount shown on Line 69,
- 22 42,616.34.

- 1 Q That's the amount in '95.
- 2 A '95. Those amounts then are allocated to
- 3 the Illinois jurisdictional --
- 4 Q We're sorry, slow down. These were
- 5 allocated to the Illinois jurisdictional --
- 6 A MGP side.
- 7 Q MGP side.
- 8 A Manufactured gas plant.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A The numbers that appear on Page 4 are for
- 11 total company.
- 12 Q And where would we find the Illinois
- 13 portion of those numbers, or am I misunderstanding
- 14 you?
- 15 A On my -- well --
- 16 Q What I'm getting at is, where do I find the
- 17 sum of money that is in dispute, that Staff is
- 18 disputing in this matter?
- 19 A At this point you would take the difference
- 20 between my over recovery on my Schedule 2.01,
- 21 161,190 and the company's calculated over recovery,
- 22 which is 258,924. The total difference right now is

- 1 \$2,266.
- 2 Q And that is the total amount in dispute,
- 3 then?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q And that 2,266 represents -- again, is this
- 6 travel expenses?
- 7 A Travel expenses incurred by company
- 8 employees and an interest affect on that because
- 9 these travel expenses occurred some years back.
- 10 This is more complex because the insurance proceeds
- 11 have been received over a number of years and travel
- 12 expenses incurred -- or other expenses incurred over
- 13 a period of time and an interest amount needed to be
- 14 calculated on that because the company was holding
- 15 the money for that period.
- 16 Q All right.
- 17 A And the company has accepted that, the
- 18 insurance adjustments.
- 19 Q So, this \$2,266 is all that is left.
- 20 A That's the amount in dispute.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. And I take it that the
- 22 parties over the course of this proceeding -- when I

- 1 say "this proceeding" I mean, since the time that
- 2 this docket was filed, I suppose if the 2,266 has
- 3 not been resolved at this point it's not going to
- 4 be. So, it's a question of a proposed order. It
- 5 may turn out to be one of the smaller sums the
- 6 Commission has dealt with over the years, but if
- 7 that's the way it is, that's the way it is.
- 8 Q In any of the testimony or in any of the
- 9 schedules, does it -- and again, I've read these
- 10 schedules but I'm not proficient in them, I'm not an
- 11 accountant, is the 2,266 specifically identified?
- 12 A No, it is not.
- 13 Q That's why I'm glad I asked the question
- 14 because it's not, you know --
- 15 A Probably you can compare my Schedule 2.02
- 16 with the company's Exhibit 1.18.
- 17 Q Your 2.2 to company's 1.18.
- 18 MR. FEELEY: I'm sorry, I think, Schedule 2.02,
- 19 right?
- THE WITNESS: Schedule 2.02. Page 1.
- MR. FEELEY: What line number?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Line No. 10 -- well, it's also a

- 1 different -- you would compare my Schedule 2.02 with
- 2 Mr. Seitz' Exhibit 1.18, Page 2 of 3.
- JUDGE RILEY: Q I've got a lousy copy of that,
- 4 but, what line would that be?
- 5 A Line 10, 267,640 is what he calculates is
- 6 the Illinois jurisdictional net insurance proceeds
- 7 including interest.
- 8 My number for that amount is
- 9 269,906.58, also Line 10 on my Schedule 2.02.
- 10 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Well, then, here's the
- 11 situation, inasmuch as there is a contested issue,
- 12 Counsel, I'm going to ask for briefs, which should
- 13 be able to delineate it a little bit better than I'm
- 14 reading here. I know it's in this pile of
- 15 schedules, but it will take me a while to cull it
- 16 out.
- 17 What can we do for a briefing
- 18 schedule?
- I'm sorry. Ms. Ebrey, that's all the
- 20 questions that I have.
- 21 Again, Mr. Ragsdale, did you have
- 22 anything further?

- 1 MR. RAGSDALE: No. Thank you.
- JUDGE RILEY: Did you have any redirect,
- 3 Mr. Feeley?
- 4 MR. FEELEY: No.
- JUDGE RILEY: Then, Ms. Ebrey, thank you very
- 6 much. You're excused.
- 7 (Witness excused.)
- 8 MR. FEELEY: Kent?
- 9 MR. RAGSDALE: Yes.
- 10 MR. FEELEY: I talked to Theresa beforehand, how
- 11 would the following dates be for briefing, I don't
- 12 know what your schedule is like.
- MR. RAGSDALE: My feeling, John, is I would like
- 14 to have our respective experts prepare the brief in
- 15 this case, but. . .
- 16 MR. FEELEY: Okay.
- 17 MR. RAGSDALE: That's my little joke.
- 18 MR. FEELEY: I guess, we're thinking, maybe,
- 19 like, November 26th for the initial brief.
- 20 MR. RAGSDALE: The problem I have is I've got a
- 21 significant filing I've got to make in front of the
- 22 Iowa Utilities Board on the 26th. I have a rate

- 1 case that starts up on December 3rd, in which the
- 2 amount of controversy right now between us and the
- 3 other parties is \$100 million. I really take to
- 4 heart --
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: Well, this is more --
- 6 MR. RAGSDALE: -- Mr. Riley's comments in why I
- 7 made the suggestion I did a little while ago as to
- 8 who really ought to be preparing the brief over this
- 9 \$2,000.
- 10 MR. FEELEY: How about -- you want to do it
- 11 after the 3rd of December, then, right, an initial
- 12 brief?
- 13 MR. RAGSDALE: Yeah.
- 14 MR. FEELEY: How about -- and this is subject,
- 15 Theresa, how about, I guess, December 10th and
- 16 maybe --
- 17 MR. RAGSDALE: I'm in hearings all week. And we
- 18 have testimony that we have to prepare for filing on
- 19 the 25th. I have another docket I've got to make
- 20 filings.
- MR. FEELEY: What day do you want, then?
- 22 MR. RAGSDALE: You know, how about the 19th of

- 1 December?
- 2 MR. FEELEY: For the initial brief?
- 3 MR. RAGSDALE: Yeah.
- 4 MR. FEELEY: Theresa, is that all right with
- 5 you?
- 6 MS. EBREY: That's fine.
- 7 MR. RAGSDALE: And I really apologize for that.
- 8 MR. FEELEY: Oh, no, that's fine.
- 9 MR. RAGSDALE: And maybe that will give us an
- 10 opportunity to sort of revisit this whole issue,
- 11 again, too.
- 12 MR. FEELEY: Okay.
- JUDGE RILEY: Well, then, a reply brief.
- 14 Obviously, we're running into Christmas week there.
- MR. FEELEY: Can we do, like, the middle of
- 16 January or something, is that all right with you,
- 17 Kent?
- 18 MR. RAGSDALE: Yeah.
- 19 MR. FEELEY: And, Theresa, what day would be
- 20 good for you?
- MR. RAGSDALE: Because you're making her do it,
- 22 right, John?

- 1 MS. EBREY: How about January 14th?
- 2 MR. FEELEY: Is that far enough spread, Kent,
- 3 for you?
- 4 MR. RAGSDALE: Yeah, that will be fine. Let's
- 5 do that.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: What is the possibility of the
- 7 parties revisiting this issue in the meantime?
- 8 MR. RAGSDALE: I know from my perspective, my
- 9 view of the world, and this is off the record, I
- 10 hope.
- JUDGE RILEY: Let's go off the record, then.
- 12 (Whereupon, a discussion
- was had off the record.)
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: Back on the record.
- Okay. We have agreed, then, that the
- 16 parties initial briefs will be due by the close of
- 17 business on December 19th and that reply briefs will
- 18 be due January 14th, 2003, and that's again, by the
- 19 close of business.
- Is there anything further?
- 21 MR. RAGSDALE: Nothing from us. Thank you.
- MR. FEELEY: No, nothing from Staff.

```
1 JUDGE RILEY: All right. I thank you all very
 2 much.
                 I will direct the Court Reporter to
 3
 4 mark this matter heard and taken and I await the
 5 submission of the briefs next month.
      MR. RAGSDALE: Thank you.
JUDGE RILEY: Thank you, Mr. Ragsdale,
8 gentlemen. Thank you, Theresa.
9
                             HEARD AND TAKEN
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
```